# Autonomous Navigation on 2D Environments

based on
Motion Planning on Dynamic Environments
Using Velocity Obstacles (Fiorini and Shiller)

Paul Vincent Contreras

Problem Environment

A is the robot and B is the moving object that needs to be avoided.

Problem Environment

Given a robot and an obstacle each moving with a velocity v_A and v_B respectively, how do we prevent them from colliding with each other?

Step 1: Obtain the collision cone

Collision Cone (Definition)

The collision cone $CC_{A,B}$ is the set of colliding relative velocities between $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$:

$$CC_{A,B} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{v_{A,B}} \middle| \lambda_{A,B} \cap \hat{B} \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

where $v_{A,B}$ is the relative velocity of $\hat{A}$ with respect to $\hat{B}$, $\boldsymbol{v_{A,B}} = \boldsymbol{v_A} - \boldsymbol{v_B}$ and $\lambda_{A,B}$ is the line of $\boldsymbol{v_{A,B}}$. The cone's apex (summit) is $\hat{A}$.

Step 1: Obtain the collision cone

Step 1: Obtain the collision cone

- First map B to the *Configuration Space* of A, by reducing A to the point $\hat{A}$ and enlarging B by the radius of A to $\hat{B}$.

Collision cone

Notes

- Any relative velocity that lies <u>between the two tangents</u> to $\hat{B}$, λ_f and λ_r will <u>cause a collision</u> between A and B.

- Any relative velocity that lies <u>outside $CC_{A,B}$</u> is guaranteed to be <u>collision-free</u> provided that $\hat{B}$ maintains its current shape and speed.

- Collision cones are specific to a particular pair of robot/obstacle.

- If we want to consider the absolute velocities of A, we add the velocity $\boldsymbol{v}_B$ to each of the velocity in $CC_{A,B}$. The result is what we call the **velocity obstacle**.

Step 2: Add the absolute velocity of the obstacle

Vehicle obstacle (Definition)

The **velocity obstacle** is the set of all velocities of a robot that will result in a collision with another robot at some moment in time, assuming that the other robot maintains its current velocity.

Formally, it is defined as:

$$VO = CC_{A,B} \oplus \boldsymbol{v_B}$$

where $\oplus$ is the ***Minkowski vector sum operator***.

Minkowsky vector sum

- The **Minkowski sum** (also known as dilation) of two sets of position vectors A and B in Euclidean space is formed by adding each vector in A to each vector in B, i.e., the set

$$A + B = \{a + b | a \in A, b \in B\}$$

Example:

$A = \{(1,0), (0,1), (0,-1)\}$ and $B = \{(0,0), (1,1), (1,-1)\}$

The Minkowski sum is:

$A + B = \{(1,0), (2,1), (2,-1), (0,1), (1,2), (1,0), (0,-1), (1,0), (1,-2)\}$

Velocity obstacle

How do we avoid collisions?

- Generate an avoidance trajectory
  - Feasible accelerations
  - Reachable Velocities
  - Reachable Avoidance Velocities

What are the feasible movements of the robot?

The set of **feasible accelerations**, $FA(t)$, that a robot can take at time t is defined as:

$$FA(t) = \{\ddot{x} | \ddot{x} = f(x, \dot{x}, u), u \in U\}$$

where x is the position vector, $f(x, \dot{x}, u)$ represents the robot dynamics, u is the vector of actuator efforts and U is the set of admissible controls.

Reachable Velocities

The set of **reachable velocities**, $RV(t + \Delta t)$, over the time interval Δt, is defined as:

$$RV(t + \Delta t) = \{\boldsymbol{v} | \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{v_A}(t) \oplus \Delta t \cdot FA(t)\}$$

RV is computed by scaling $FA(t)$ by Δt and adding it to the current velocity of A.

Reachable Avoidance Velocities

The set of reachable avoidance velocities, RAV, is defined as:

$$RAV(t + \Delta t) = RV(t + \Delta t) \ominus VO(t)$$

where $\ominus$ denotes the operation of set difference.

Parts of the obstacle

Using the collision cone diagram, if we attach a coordinate frame to the center of $\hat{B}$, we can specify which is the rear and front of the circular object:

GREEN = rear semicircle ∂B_r
RED = front semicircle ∂B_f

Structure of Avoidance Maneuvers

Lemma 1

Robot A is tangent to obstacle B at some point $P \in \partial B$ if and only if it follows a trajectory generated by $\boldsymbol{v}_A$ corresponding to $\boldsymbol{v}_{A,B} \in \{\lambda_f, \lambda_r\}$. The tangency sets in ∂B consist of the shortest segment connecting $T_f = \lambda_f \cap \partial B$ to Y_f, and of the shortest segment connecting $T_r = \lambda_r \cap \partial B$ to Y_r.

Structure of Avoidance Maneuvers

What Lemma 1 means

Tangent maneuvers can only be generated only by relative velocities on λ_f and λ_r.

The actual points of tangency of these maneuvers are different from the tangency points T_f and T_r, since the points depend on the absolute velocities of A and B.

Structure of Avoidance Maneuvers

What Lemma 1 means

Velocities on δ_f or δ_r are tangent to $\hat{B}$ at some point in time

Structure of Avoidance Maneuvers

Lemma 1

Velocities on δ_f or δ_r are tangent to $\hat{B}$ at some point in time

Structure of Avoidance Maneuvers

Lemma 2

The reachable avoidance velocities RAV due to a single obstacle consists of <u>at most three</u> non-overlapping subsets S_f, S_r and S_d, each representing velocities corresponding to front, rear or diverging maneuvers respectively.

Structure of Avoidance Maneuvers

Lemma 2

- Avoidance maneuvers are classified into three:
  - Front maneuvers (S_f)
  - Rear maneuvers (S_r)
  - Diverging maneuvers (S_d)

Structure of Avoidance Maneuvers

Theorem 1

Given a robot A and m moving obstacles B_j $(j = 1, \ldots, m)$, the reachable avoidance set RAV consists of at most $3m$ subsets, each including velocities corresponding to a unique type of avoidance maneuver.

How do we develop avoidance trajectories?

Generate <u>a tree of feasible maneuvers</u> computed at discrete time intervals.

At each time step, the robot should choose a velocity to take so that if the moving obstacle changes velocity, the robot would still be able to avoid collision.

Proposed Methods

- Global search
- Heuristic search

These proposed methods are tree generation algorithms.

Search tree

The search tree represents the available maneuvers a robot can take and its corresponding position at each discrete time step. Formally defined as:

$$n_j(t_i) = \left\{ \left(\boldsymbol{x_j}, RAV(t_i) \right) \right\}$$
$$o_{j,l}(t_i) = \left\{ \boldsymbol{v_l}(t_i) \middle| v_l(t_i) \in RAV_j(t_i) \right\}$$
$$e_{j,k}(t_i) = \left\{ \left(n_j(t_i), n_j(t_{i+1}) \right) \middle| n_k(t_{i+1}) = n_j(t_i) + \left(o_{j,l}T \right) \right\}$$

where

1. $n_j(t_i)$ is the jth node at time t_i

2. $RAV_j(t_i)$ is the reachable velocity set computed for the node n_j

3. $o_{j,l}$ is the lth operator on node j at time t_i

4. $e_{j,k}$ is the branch between node n_j at time t_i, and node n_k at time t_{i+1}.

Search tree

Global search

- Global search is an off-line method
- Generates reachable avoidance velocities at discrete time intervals.
  - RAVs are discretized by grids.
  - Positions reached by robots are the successors of the node.
- Trajectories generated avoid all obstacles at a specific time horizon.

Search tree

Heuristic search

- Heuristic search involves the generation of trajectories on-line.
- Expand nodes that correspond to the robot's current position and generate only one branch per node, depending on a heuristic arbitrarily chosen by a path planner.
- Path planners arbitrarily define heuristics that would let the robot satisfy a goal.
  - Examples:
    - Survival of the robot
    - Reaching the desired target
    - Minimizing a performance criteria
    - Selecting a desired trajectory structure
- There is no guarantee that objectives set by the path planners will be achieved at any time.

Heuristic search

- In this paper, three kinds of heuristics were used:
  - Choosing the highest avoidance velocity along the line to the goal **(TG = to goal)**
  - Selecting the maximum avoidance velocity with some specified angle α from the line to the goal **(MV = maximum velocity)**
  - Selecting the velocity that avoids the obstacles according to their perceived risk. **(ST = structure)**

Example (step 1)

Assume that the entire RAV set contains 6 points.

Example (step 2)

Assume that the entire RAV set contains 6 points.

Example (step 3)

Assume that the entire RAV set contains 4 points.

Examples

- Avoidance of static obstacles
- Avoidance of fixed and moving obstacles
- Intelligent Highway

Example 1: Avoidance of static obstacles

- A point robot avoids eight circular obstacles starting from rest.
- Used MV heuristics.
- Trajectories were computed every 1 s.

# Example 2: Avoidance of fixed and moving obstacles

- Robot starts from rest at the center.

- The robot should first avoid static objects at the center and then large moving objects.

- The robot used MV heuristics.

Example 2: Avoidance of fixed and moving obstacles (1)

(a)

Robot is situated at the center. ($t = 0$)

# Example 2: Avoidance of fixed and moving obstacles (2)

(b)

The robot first avoids the small static obstacles

Example 2: Avoidance of fixed and moving obstacles (2)

(b)

The robot moves to avoid the incoming obstacle 8, but it first slows down and accelerates. ($t = 24\ s$)

Example 2: Avoidance of fixed and moving obstacles (3)

(c)

The robot becomes tangent to obstacle 8. ($t = 29\ s$)

Example 2: Avoidance of fixed and moving obstacles (4)

(d)

Robot accelerates to target. ($t = 29\ s$)

Example 3a: Moving to an exit ramp

- A robotic vehicle is located at the leftmost lane trying to reach an exit ramp located on the right.

- There are two moving vehicles that move at constant speeds.
  - Vehicle 1 ($v_x = 30$ m/s, $v_y = 0$)
  - Vehicle 2 ($v_x = 23$ m/s, $v_y = $)

- Used Global Search and the Heuristic Search (TG and MV)

Example 3a: Moving to an exit ramp

- Used a global search (Depth First Iterative Deepening Algorithm)
- Nodes of the tree were generated every $1\ s$
- The RAV sets were discretized, on average, 12 points

Example 3a: Moving to an exit ramp

- The total motion time was $3.5\ s$

Example 3a: Moving to an exit ramp

- Used TG heuristics
- Total motion time was $6.07\ s$

Example 3a: Moving to an exit ramp

- Used MV heuristics
- The total motion time was $3.56\ s$

Example 3a: Moving to an exit ramp

- Used a combination of MV and TG
- MV heuristics was the basis for the robot's movement when $0 \leq t \leq 2.0 \ s$
- TG heuristics was the basis for the robot's movement afterwards
- The total motion time was $5.31 \ s$

Example 3a: Moving to an exit ramp

- Used a combination of MV and TG
- The total motion time was 5.31

Velocity obstacle

Notes

- Selecting a v_A **<u>outside</u>** of VO would **<u>avoid collision</u>**, or symbolically:
$$A(t) \cap B(t) = \emptyset \text{ if } \boldsymbol{v_A} \notin VO(t)$$

Velocities on the boundaries of VO would result in A grazing (or slightly touching) B.

- For multiple obstacles, we have
$$VO = \cup_{i=1}^{m} VO_{B_i}$$

where m is the number of obstacles.

- We assign priorities to each obstacle and the one with an imminent collision will have the greatest precedence.

Example 3b: Negotiating Highway Traffic

- We have a "speeding" robot and the other moving objects are represented as small circles

- Used MV heuristic meth

The robot passes vehicle 1 without making a complete lane change.

The robot overtook vehicle 1 and continued to take the same lane.

Example 3b: Negotiating Highway Traffic

- We have a "speeding" robot and the other moving objects are represented as big circles
- Used MV heuristic meth...

The robot slows down first and attempt to overtake vehicle 1

Because of the feasibility to accelerate, the robot speeds up in front of vehicle 3.

Because the moving vehicles were o...d down to attempt a lane change to the left...velocity to the right became feasible so the robo...1 on the right and returned to the center lane.

Example 4: Moving towards the start of a moving obstacle

- MV heuristics applied
- Robot's maximum velocity is 10 m/s
- Obstacle is moving at a speed of 3 m/s

Summary

- A first-order method for planning the motion of a robot in dynamic environments was presented.
    - Simple geometric representation
    - Avoids all kinds of obstacles (static and dynamic)
    - Scalable (possible to increase and decrease the number of obstacles)